

Carver County River Crossing Study
Watertown, Minnesota
Open House 1 - Comment Summary
Includes comments received through April 20, 2011

40 people signed in
16 comments received (representing 27 people)

This column indicates the number of comments received regarding this issue
This column indicates the number of persons represented by these comments

Purpose and Need		
2	2	Questioned the need for an additional bridge in the community
1	1	A new bridge is not needed at this time and would be a waste of taxpayer money
1	9	Projected growth too aggressive - out of date

Concept Location		
3	5	Prefer the northern concept (for southern beltway)
11	21	Against the northern concept (for southern beltway)
2	3	School traffic on the north side of town
3	4	Preferred building on the north side of Watertown
1	2	Build a second river crossing downtown
2	3	Improve CSAH 10 downtown bridge
1	1	Do not dismiss the no build
4	12	Utilize the existing bridge south of TH 25
6	16	Concern of cost
1	2	Just pave 32nd Street
2	2	Concern for Safety

Impacts to Natural Resources		
4	5	Avoid River Point Park
1	1	Expand River Point Park to the south to include the other pond
4	12	Floodplain impacts
2	4	Farmland impacts
1	9	Air pollution
5	6	Concern for Environmental Impacts

Impacts to Residences		
1	9	Northern concept will devalue neighboring property values
6	8	Noise and traffic impacts to existing developments
7	8	Avoid impacts to existing residential areas
1	2	Visual impacts to existing developments

Open House		
1	1	Comments should be captured at the Open House while they are being stated
1	1	Open house should have been less presenting and more asking opinions and concerns
1	9	People cannot attend open houses like this, digest the information, and provide comments in this short amount of time
1	9	Please make more information available. Please have handouts at the Open Houses (maps and documents)
1	9	Please send me a copy of the open house information
1	2	Great, informative representatives

Study Process

1	1	Need more detailed information so an informed decision could be made
1	1	The study needs to be longer with further opportunities for public debate
1	9	Previous planning efforts were not available / nor previously discussed for a County Road in this vicinity
2	10	Preserve the roadway now, limiting impacts to existing residences. As the community grows new residences / developments will plan accordingly