

# Marco Graziani Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

### Morning Speaker August 1 Chloride Water Quality Trading



## MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

### August 1, 2023 Chloride Water Quality Trading

Marco Graziani

### What is Water Quality Trading?

- Many different sources of pollutants affect water quality in a watershed
- Pollutant load reductions beyond legally required levels can generate water quality trading credits
- <u>A portion of a wastewater or stormwater permit's pollutant load reduction responsibilities</u> can be offset by pollutant load reductions achieved elsewhere in the watershed
- Pollutant load reductions <u>must exceed</u> the credits made available to the buyer addressed through trade ratios
- Credits are calculated to benefit the buyer's immediate receiving water or specific downstream waterbodies
- Trading can be a cost-effective pollutant load reduction alternative for permitted wastewater and stormwater sources
- Nonpoint source credits can result in ancillary benefits beyond the pollutant offset
- Trading can help accelerate the implementation of voluntary nonpoint source BMPs by providing additional funding sources for practices designed to improve water quality
- Trading can also result in "demand" for BMPs to be established in specific locations that will
  result in a greater volume of water quality credits
- Water quality trading is a tool, not a goal





Image source: Willamette Partnership: https://willamettepartnership.org/water-quality-trading-101/

### Summary of important trading concepts



			Trade ratios	1	How does it work in practice?
<ul> <li>Trade ratios are used to account for uncertainty and ensure water quality benefit</li> <li>Default trade ratios are low for wastewater generated credits because effluents are monitored and uncertainty is low</li> <li>Stormwater generated credits have moderate uncertainty because BMPs are not monitored (effluent quality) but they are subject to permit requirements (inspection, maintenance)</li> </ul>			Wastewater		
	o		Step 1.         Step 2.           TMDL or         identify the         Step 3.           similar         trades         trades           watershed         trades         trades	Step 4. Step 5. trading plan Trading plan ng plan approval 8. Proved 10 a	
	Default Trade Ratios. Wastewater NPDES Credit Generators (Sellers) Stormwater NPDES Nonpoint Source	Credits Users (Buyers) NPDES Permittees	analysis meddung credit demand	public notice	
		Wastewater NPDES Stormwater NPDES Nonpoint Source	1.1:1.0 2.1:1.0 2.6:1.0		
				Stormwater	
<ul> <li>Nonpoint source generated credits have high uncertainty because BMPs are not subject to permit requirements</li> </ul>				Step 1. Step 2. TMU or similar identify the watershed including credit analysis demand	Step 3. MPCA trading plan approval and plan incorporation into SWPPP
m	MINNESOTA POLLUT	ION			SOTA POLLUTION OL AGENCY



### Water Quality Trading Guidance

- Water Quality Trading Guidance
- Published March 1, 2021
- Available on the MPCA's water quality trading webpage:

https://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/water-quality-trading

January 2021 Water Quality Trading Guidance A guide for the implementation of water quality trading alternatives to achieve watershed protection and regulatory flexibility.

Pollutant trading

MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

### Challenges with chloride trades







### How would chloride trading work?

Minnesota Statewide Chloride Management Plan MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

![](_page_7_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure 4: Fraction of annual chloride contributions from major point and nonpoint sources for State of Minnesota (Overho et al. 2019)

\*Please note that Road suit use is actually all de-icing sait applied to roads, parking lots, sidewalks, and trails.

![](_page_7_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Redwood River – Marshall, MN

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Redwood River Chloride TMDL

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Table 32. Chloride TMDL summary for Redwood River Reach 502.

Chloride		Flow zones*				
		Very high	High	Mid- range	Low	Very low
	Sources	Chloride load (lbs/day)				
Wasteload	ADM Corn Processing – Marshall (MN0057037)	5,064	5,064	5,064	**	**
	Lynd WWTP (MNG585030)	655	655	655	**	**
	Marshall WWTP (MN0022179)	8,632	8,632	8,632	**	**
	Russell WWTP (MNG585062)	1,124	1,124	1,124	**	**
	Magellan Pipeline Co LP – Marshall (MN0059838)	1,381	1,381	1,381	**	**
	Ruthton WWTP (MNG585105)	724	724	724	**	**
	Tyler WWTP (MNG585116)	2,091	2,091	2,091	**	**
	City of Marshall MS4 (MS400241)	18,304	5,588	1,753	**	**
	Total WLA	37,975	25,259	21,424	**	**
Load	Total LA	547,541	153,497	34,649	**	**
	MOS	30,817	9,408	2,951	926	458
	Total load	616,333	188,164	59,024	18,514	9,169
Existing	maximum concentration (mg/L)***	463				
Over	all estimated percent reduction***			50%		

#### ADM Corn Processing - Marshall Chloride (lbs/day)

![](_page_9_Figure_5.jpeg)

- WLA (bs/day)

Effluent Chloride (lbs/day)

![](_page_9_Picture_6.jpeg)

### It's complicated!

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

Chloride (mg/L) ——Calendar Month Average Permit Limit (mg/L)

![](_page_10_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Brownie Lake – Minneapolis, MN

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Marco Graziani 651-757-2398 marco.graziani@state.mn.us

### Water quality trading models

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

Source: https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2016-04/documents/wqtradingtoolkit.pdf

![](_page_14_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Baselines

#### Water Quality Credit Trading \_ \_ \_ Buyer's Baseline: Minimum Control Level Technology Based Effluent Limit (industrial wastewater) ٠ Credit Demand Pollutant Load Secondary Treatment Standard (municipal wastewater) ٠ Six Minimum Control Measures (MS4 stormwater) ٠ Seller's Baseline: Water Quality Based Effluent Limit (wastewater sector) ٠ Credit Supply TMDL Wasteload Allocation (stormwater sector) ٠ Site specific load allocation or compliance with all legal requirements & ٠ any locally established credit generation baseline requirements (nonpoint source sector)

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Credit quantification

- Credit value is based on the expected pollutant load reduction <u>at</u> <u>the waterbody of concern</u>
- Credit quantification allows for sampling and modeling
- Considerations include edge of field delivery, pollutant fate and transport, temporal variability and any conditions specific to the water quality standard and/or watershed modeling assumptions

![](_page_16_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Trade ratios

- Trade ratios are used to account for uncertainty and ensure water quality benefit
- Default trade ratios are low for wastewater generated credits because effluents are monitored and uncertainty is low
- Stormwater generated credits have moderate uncertainty because BMPs are not monitored (effluent quality) but they are subject to permit requirements (inspection, maintenance)
- Nonpoint source generated credits have high uncertainty because BMPs are not subject to permit requirements

		Credits Users (Buyers)		
Default Trade Ratios		NPDES Permittees		
Credit Generators (Sellers)	Wastewater NPDES	1.1:1.0		
	Stormwater NPDES	2.1:1.0		
	Nonpoint Source	2.6:1.0		

![](_page_17_Picture_6.jpeg)

### How does it work in practice?

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)